

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/990,604	BRADY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Bradford B. Wright.

Status of Application: Amendment After Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 May 2004

Time: Aproximately 11 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

Sato et al, US 5,403,513.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested the insertion of the claim language set forth in claim 1 be incorporated into claim 15 to further clarify that the product formed has rutile crystalline structure. It was noted that the materials of the Sato et al reference have anatase structure as set forth in Table 1 bridging columns 11-14. Applicants authorized said change by examiner's amendment..